



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 09/632,017 | 08/02/2000 | Jerry Wynn Brimer | NORTH-358G/A-2185 D1 | 1088 |

542 7590 04/12/2002

NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION
PATENT DEPARTMENT M/S 90/110/CC
1840 CENTURY PARK EAST
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-2199

EXAMINER

JACKSON, MONIQUE R

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

1773

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2002

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7-2-16

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/632,017

Applicant(s)

BRIMER ET AL.

Examiner

Monique R Jackson

Art Unit

1773

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☒ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See attached.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.

Claim(s) objected to: None.

Claim(s) rejected: 16-25.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____.

ADVISORY ACTION

Continuation of Item 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed 4/5/02 will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, they raise the issue of new matter, and they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Proposed amended claim 16 recites the limitation "A metal structure comprising an acid containing steel surface having deposited thereon an adhesive mixture of an acid-pervious polymer particulate and a high curing temperature powder adhesive, the adhesive having a curing temperature lower than a melting temperature of said particulate to adhere said particulate to the steel surface after deposition of said mixture on the steel surface, the adhesive mixture being operative to form an acid-impervious barrier at temperatures above 500°F to mitigate the acid of the steel surface from penetrating therethrough." The underlined portions of the proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search. Further, the Examiner notes that the original disclosure at the time of filing does not provide support for the proposed amendments, particularly with reference to the limitations "acid containing steel surface having deposited thereon", "high curing temperature", "a curing temperature lower than a melting temperature" and "mitigate the acid of the steel surface from penetrating therethrough".

Continuation of Item 5. NOTE: Applicant's request for consideration has been considered but is moot considering Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendments which have not been entered for the above recited reasons.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

mrj

mrj
April 11, 2002

D. S. Nakarani
D. S. NAKARANI
PRIMARY EXAMINER, Acting SPE